(C)

Government of Kerala കേരള സർക്കാർ 2010



Reg. No. രജി. നമ്പർ KL/TV(N)/12/2009-2011

KERALA GAZETTE കേരള ഗസററ്

PUBLISHED BY AUTHORITY

ആധികാരികമായി പ്രസിദ്ധപ്പെടുത്തുന്നത്

Vol. LV വാല്യം 55

THIRUVANANTHAPURAM, TUESDAY തിരുവനന്തപുരം, ചൊവ്വ

9th February 2010 2010 ഫെബ്രുവരി 9

20th Magha 1931 1931 മാഘം 20 No. നമ്പർ } 6

PART I

Notifications and Orders issued by the Government

Labour and Rehabilitation Department Labour and Rehabilitation (A)

ORDERS

(1)

G. O. (Rt.) No. 1987/2009/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 17th December 2009.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between The Proprietor, Ameen Gas Agencies, Post Office Road, Shornur and the workman of the above referred establishment Shri Hussain, S/o Mohammed, Pallithazhath House, Cheruthuruthi, Thrissur in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (d) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Palakkad. The Industrial Tribunal will pass the award within a period of three months.

Annexure

Whether the denial of employment to Shri Hussain, Driver of Ameen Gas Agencies, Shornur by the management with effect from 30-1-2009 is justifiable? If not what relief he is entitled to?

(2)

G. O. (Rt.) No. 1988/2009/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 17th December 2009.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between Shri Jayachandran, Proprietor, Jaya Bakery and Ice Cream Parlour, Kanjani, Thrissur District and the workman of the above referred establishment Shri P. T. Chandran, Pulickal House, Ammadam, Paralam P.O., Thrissur in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

•

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (d) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Palakkad. The Industrial Tribunal will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the denial of employment Shri P. T. Chandran, Bake man, Jaya Bakery and Ice Cream Parlour, Kanjani, Thrissur by the employer is justifiable? If not what relief he is entitled to get?

(3)

G. O. (Rt.) No. 1989/2009/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 17th December 2009.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between The Manager, Commonwealth Tile Factory, Feroke and the workmen of the above referred establishment represented by (1) The General Secretary, The Tile Employees Union (INTUC), Cheruvannoor, Feroke, (2) The General Secretary, The Tile Workers Union (CITU), Cheruvannoor, Feroke, (3) The General Secretary, The Tile and Ceramics Workers Union (AITUC), Cheruvannoor, Feroke in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (d) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Kozhikode. The Industrial Tribunal will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the denial of 3 days wages to the workers of Commonwealth Tile Factory, Feroke by the management of Commonwealth Tile Factory, Feroke is justifiable or not?

(4)

G. O. (Rt.) No. 1990/2009/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 17th December 2009.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between Shri T. K. Annamala Muthali, Contractor, M/s Muthali Associates, S.D.F. Industries,

Pampady P.O., Thiruviluamala and the workmen of the above referred establishment represented by the General Secretary, Thrissur Jilla Vanijya Vyavasaya Mazdoor Sangh (BMS), Thiruvampady, Thrissur-1 in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (d) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Palakkad. The Industrial Tribunal will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the alleged denial of employment to the contract workers Shri/Smt. 1. Suresh,

- 2. Manikandan, 3. Vijayakumar, 4. K. P. Valsan,
- 5. Rajeev, 6. Sukumaran, 7. Jayan, 8. Babu,
- 9. Surendran, 10. Kannan, 11. Narayanan Kutty,
- 12. Sudheer, 13. Pramod, 14. Vijaya Lakshmi,
- 15. Geetha, 16. Baby, 17. Jayaprabha, 18. Sarojini,
- 19. Radha Bhai, 20. Saraswathi, 21. Sakuntala,
- 22. Padmini, 23. Sheela, 24. Beena, 25. Thankam,
- 26. Kalyani by the Contractor, M/s Muthali Associates is justifiable? If not what relief the workers are entitled to get?

(5)

G. O. (Rt.) No. 2004/2009/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 22nd December 2009.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the Managing Director, Travancore Sugars and Chemicals Limited, Valanjavattom P.O., Thiruvalla-689 104 and the workmen of the above referred establishment represented by the General Secretary, T.S.C. Employees Union (INTUC), Travancore Sugars and Chemicals Limited, Valanjavattom P.O., Thiruvalla in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Kollam. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the demand of the Union to grant promotion to Mr. P. M. John, Mechanical Supervisor to the cadre of Deputy Manager (Mechanical) is justifiable or not? If justified, the relief that he is entitled to get?

By order of the Governor,

G. Sivaprasad, Under Secretary to Government.

ERRATUM

G. O. (Rt.) No. 3/2010/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 1st January 2010.

Read:—1. Letter No. I (5) 25885/08, dated 14-1-2009 from the Labour Commissioner, Thiruvananthapuram.

2. G. O. (Rt.) No.1880/2009/LBR. dated 30-11-2009.

ORDER

1. An Industrial Dispute arose between the management of Steel Industries Kerala Limited, Silk Nagar, Athani, Thrissur and its workman, Shri C.P. Divakaran

was recommended to be referred for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Alappuzha by the Labour Commissioner, Thiruvananthapuram as per reference read as 1st paper above. The above issue was referred for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Alappuzha as per the G.O. read as 2nd paper above. An error crept in the said Government Order. On scrutiny of the Government Order it was revealed that the last sentence of the first portion of the terms of reference was wrongly given as "if now that relief he is entitled to?" in stead of "If not, what relief he is entitled to?"

- 2. The above portion may be re-read as "If no, what relief he is entitled to?"
- 3. The Government Order read as 2nd paper stands modified to the above extent.

By order of the Governor,

G. Sivaprasad,
Under Secretary to Government.